

ISOTROPIC IDEALS OF METRIC n -LIE ALGEBRAS

RUIPU BAI, WANQING WU, AND ZHIQI CHEN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give a systematic study on isotropic ideals of metric n -Lie algebras. As an application, we show that the center of a non-abelian $(n+k)$ -dimensional metric n -Lie algebra ($2 \leq k \leq n+1$), whose center is isotropic, is of dimension $k-1$. Furthermore, we classify $(n+k)$ -dimensional metric n -Lie algebras for $2 \leq k \leq n+1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of n -Lie algebras was introduced by Filippov [12] in 1985. It is strongly connected with many other fields, such as dynamics, geometry and string theory. Indeed, motivated by some problems of quark dynamics, Nambu [20] introduces a ternary generalization of Hamiltonian dynamics by means of the ternary Poisson bracket

$$[f_1, f_2, f_3] = \det \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

Recently, a class of n -Lie algebras attract more and more attention. They are called metric n -Lie algebras, which are n -Lie algebras possessed invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear forms.

Metric n -Lie algebras have arisen in the work of Figueroa-O'Farrill and Papadopoulos [10] in the classification of maximally supersymmetric type IIB supergravity backgrounds [11], and in the work of Bagger and Lambert [1, 2] and Gustavsson [13] on a superconformal field theory for multiple M2-branes. But very few metric n -Lie algebras admit positive definite metrics (see [21, 15]). In fact, Ho-Hou-Matsuo [14] confirmed that there are no n -Lie algebras with positive definite metrics for $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$. Some messages in physics motivate us to study n -Lie algebras with invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear forms, such as the correspond between some dynamical systems involving zero-norm generators and gauge symmetries and negative-norm generators corresponding to ghosts (see [17, 18, 19]).

As we know, there is some progress on the structures of metric n -Lie algebras, such as the metric dimension of metric n -Lie algebras [6], Lorentzian metric n -Lie algebras [8], the double extension of n -Lie algebras [9] and the classification of index-2 metric 3-Lie algebras [18]. But there are many problems unsolved. In particular, the classification of metric n -Lie algebras is also open. In this paper, we mainly concern isotropic ideals of metric n -Lie algebras. Furthermore

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B05, 17D99.

Key words and phrases. Metric n -Lie algebra, isotropic ideal, isomaximal ideal.

based on the results about isotropic ideals, we classify $(n+k)$ -dimensional metric n -Lie algebras for $2 \leq k \leq n+1$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we list some fundamental notions. In Section 3, we study isotropic ideals of metric n -Lie algebras. In Section 4, we classify $(n+k)$ -dimensional metric n -Lie algebras for $2 \leq k \leq n+1$.

Throughout this paper, all n -Lie algebras are of finite dimension and over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$. Obvious proof are omitted.

2. FUNDAMENTAL NOTIONS

2.1. Basic notions on n -Lie algebras. An n -Lie algebra is a vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ over a field $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with an n -multilinear operation $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ satisfying

$$[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \text{sign}(\sigma)[x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}], \quad (2.1)$$

$$[[x_1, \dots, x_n], y_2, \dots, y_n] = \sum_{i=1}^n [x_1, \dots, [x_i, y_2, \dots, y_n], \dots, x_n] \quad (2.2)$$

for any $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_2, \dots, y_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\sigma \in S_n$, the permutation group on n letters. The subalgebra $[\mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}]$ generated by all vectors $[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called the derived algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, denoted by $\mathfrak{g}^1$. If $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is called a perfect n -Lie algebra.

An ideal I of an n -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is called a solvable ideal, if $I^{(r)} = 0$ for some $r \geq 0$, where $I^{(0)} = I$ and $I^{(s+1)} = [I^{(s)}, \dots, I^{(s)}]$ for $s > 0$ by induction. If $[I, I, \mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$, then I is called an abelian ideal. The maximal solvable ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ is called the solvable radical, denoted by $\mathfrak{r}$.

An n -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be simple if it has no proper ideals and $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 > 0$. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is the direct sum of simple ideals, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is strong semisimple (see [3]). By [16], there exists only one finite dimensional simple n -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, which is the perfect $(n+1)$ -dimensional n -Lie algebra; and every finite dimensional n -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ has a Levi-decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r},$$

where $\mathfrak{s}$ is a strong semisimple subalgebra and $\mathfrak{r}$ is the radical of $\mathfrak{g}$.

For a given subspace W of an n -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, the subalgebra

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}}(W) = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, W, \mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}] = 0\}$$

is called the centralizer of W in $\mathfrak{g}$. The centralizer of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, is denoted by $C(\mathfrak{g})$. If I is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, so is $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(I)$.

An n -Lie module of an n -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a vector space M with a multilinear skew-symmetric mapping $\rho : \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n-1)} \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$ satisfying

$$[\rho(a), \rho(b)] = \rho(a)\rho(b) - \rho(b)\rho(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \rho(b_1, \dots, [a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_i], \dots, b_{n-1}),$$

$$\rho([a_1, \dots, a_n], b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{n-i} \rho(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \rho(a_i, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}),$$

where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n-1)}$ (for more details see [7, 4, 5]). The regular representation on $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by

$$a \mapsto ad(a), \text{ for } a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n-1)}.$$

2.2. Basic facts on metric n -Lie algebras. A metric n -Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ is an n -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form B , satisfying

$$B([x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1], y_2) = -B([x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_2], y_1), \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, y_2 \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2.3)$$

Such a bilinear form B is called a metric on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let W be a subspace of a metric n -Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$. The orthogonal complement of W is

$$W^\perp = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid B(w, x) = 0, \text{ for any } w \in W\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}^\perp = [\mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}] = C(\mathfrak{g})^\perp$. The subspace W is called non-degenerate if $B|_{W \times W}$ is non-degenerate, that is $W \cap W^\perp = 0$. If W is an ideal, then $W^\perp$ is also an ideal, and W is a minimal ideal if and only if $W^\perp$ is maximal. A subspace W is isotropic (coisotropic) if $W \subseteq W^\perp$ ($W^\perp \subseteq W$).

A metric n -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable if there exist non-degenerate ideals I and J , such that $\mathfrak{g} = I \oplus J$. Otherwise, $\mathfrak{g}$ is indecomposable. An equivalent criterion for decomposability is the existence of a proper non-degenerate ideal: if I is a non-degenerate ideal, $\mathfrak{g} = I \oplus I^\perp$ is an orthogonal direct sum of ideals.

Definition 2.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric n -Lie algebra and I an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. If I is isotropic and is not contained in (does not contain) any isotropic ideal, then I is called an isomaximal ideal (an isominimal ideal) of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric n -Lie algebra and V, W subspaces of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\dim G = \dim W + \dim W^\perp$ and

$$\begin{aligned} V \subseteq W &\Leftrightarrow V^\perp \supseteq W^\perp, \quad V^\perp \cap W^\perp \subseteq (V + W)^\perp, \\ (V \cap W)^\perp &\supseteq V^\perp + W^\perp, \quad (V^\perp)^\perp = V. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric n -Lie algebra and J_1, J_2 isotropic subspaces of G . Then $J_1 + J_2$ is isotropic if and only if $B(J_1, J_2) = 0$.

Lemma 2.3 ([6]). Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric n -Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ the Levi-decomposition. Then $\mathfrak{r}^\perp$ is isotropic if and only if $\mathfrak{g}$ has no strong semisimple ideals. Moreover, we have

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{r}) = C(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{r}^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}^\perp] = \mathfrak{r}^\perp.$$

Lemma 2.4 ([6]). *Let W be a subspace of a metric n -Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ and I an ideal. Then*

- (1) $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(I) = [I, \mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}]^{\perp}$.
- (2) W is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ if and only if $W^{\perp}$ is contained in $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(W)$.
- (3) One-dimensional non-degenerate ideals are contained in $C(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric n -Lie algebra and I a subalgebra (an ideal) of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then I is isotropic if and only if there exist linear endomorphisms D and T of I such that $B(D(x), y) = B(x, T(y))$ for any $x, y \in I$, where D is surjective and T is nilpotent.*

Proof. Assume that I is isotropic. It is enough to let $D(x) = x$ and $T(x) = 0$ for any $x \in I$. Conversely, since T is nilpotent, there exists an integer m such that $T^m = 0$. Then any $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $B(D^m(x), y) = B(x, T^m(y)) = 0$. Therefore, $B(I, I) = 0$ since $D^m(I) = I$. $\square$

3. ISOTROPIC IDEALS OF METRIC n -LIE ALGEBRAS

Firstly, we list some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric n -Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ the Levi-decomposition and I an ideal.*

- (1) *If I is isotropic, then I is an abelian ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$.*
- (2) *If I is a non-degenerate abelian ideal, then $I \subseteq C(\mathfrak{g})$.*
- (3) *The center $C(\mathfrak{g})$ is isotropic if and only if $C(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^1$.*
- (4) *$\mathfrak{g}$ is perfect if and only if $C(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$.*
- (5) *$\mathfrak{g}$ has no strong semi-simple ideals if and only if $\mathfrak{r}^{\perp} \subseteq C(\mathfrak{r})$. Here $C(\mathfrak{r})$ denotes the center of $\mathfrak{r}$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric n -Lie algebra, I an isotropic ideal satisfying $I^{\perp} \neq I$. Then $(I^{\perp}/I, B)$ is a metric n -Lie algebra.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric n -Lie algebra, I a minimal ideal. Then I is isotropic if and only if I is degenerate.*

Proof. The minimality of the ideal I implies $I \cap I^{\perp} = 0$ or $I \cap I^{\perp} = I$. If I is degenerate, i.e., $I \cap I^{\perp} \neq 0$, then $I \cap I^{\perp} = I \subseteq I^{\perp}$. The converse is trivial. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric n -Lie algebra with non-trivial solvable radical $\mathfrak{r}$ and I an isomaximal ideal. Then we have*

$$\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^{\perp} \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{r}.$$

Furthermore assume that $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ is indecomposable. Then

- (1) $I^{\perp} \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$.

- (2) $I^\perp/I$ is an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}/I$.
 (3) $I^\perp/I = [I^\perp/I, I^\perp/I, \mathfrak{g}/I, \dots, \mathfrak{g}/I] \oplus C_{\mathfrak{g}/I}((I^\perp/I) \cap (I^\perp/I))$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ be the Levi decomposition. Since the ideal I is isotropic, by Lemma 3.1, I is abelian. Then $I \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$ and $B(I, \mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^\perp) = 0$. Thanks to Lemma 2.2, $I + (\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^\perp)$ is an isotropic ideal. This implies $I + (\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^\perp) = I$, i.e., $\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^\perp \subseteq I$.

If $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ is indecomposable, then $\mathfrak{r}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$ by Lemma 3.1. Together with Lemma 2.1,

$$I^\perp \subseteq (\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^\perp)^\perp = \mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{r}.$$

Furthermore, $I^\perp/I$ is a solvable subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}/I$. Set

$$Z = \{x \in I^\perp \mid [x, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}] \in I, \text{ for any } u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} \in I^\perp\}.$$

Then Z is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, $I \subseteq Z$, and $Z/I = C(I^\perp/I)$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$Z/I = [I^\perp/I, \dots, I^\perp/I]^\perp. \quad (3.1)$$

Since $B([I^\perp, \dots, I^\perp], Z) = B(I^\perp, [Z, I^\perp, \dots, I^\perp]) = 0$, we have $[I^\perp, \dots, I^\perp] \cap Z$ is an isotropic ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Thanks to $B([I^\perp, \dots, I^\perp] \cap Z, I) = 0$ and Lemma 2.2, $([I^\perp, \dots, I^\perp] \cap Z) + I$ is an isotropic ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore $[I^\perp, \dots, I^\perp] \cap Z \subseteq I$. It follows that $[I^\perp/I, \dots, I^\perp/I] \cap (Z/I) = 0$. By the identity (3.1), we obtain

$$I^\perp/I = [I^\perp/I, \dots, I^\perp/I] \oplus (Z/I) = (I^\perp/I)^\perp \oplus (Z/I). \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore,

$$(I^\perp/I)^\perp = [I^\perp/I, \dots, I^\perp/I] = [(I^\perp/I)^\perp, \dots, (I^\perp/I)^\perp] = (I^\perp/I)^{(2)}.$$

Since $I^\perp/I$ is solvable, we have $(I^\perp/I)^\perp = 0$, i.e., $I^\perp/I$ is abelian.

For the last assertion, let

$$Z_1 = \{x \in I^\perp \mid [x, y, u_1, \dots, u_{n-2}] \in I, \text{ for any } y \in I^\perp, u_1, \dots, u_{n-2} \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

Then $I \subseteq Z_1$, Z_1 is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $Z_1/I = (C_{\mathfrak{g}/I}(I^\perp/I)) \cap (I^\perp/I)$. Similarly, we have

$$Z_1/I = [I^\perp/I, I^\perp/I, \mathfrak{g}/I, \dots, \mathfrak{g}/I]^\perp$$

and $([I^\perp, I^\perp, \mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}] \cap Z_1) + I$ is an isotropic ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus $[I^\perp, I^\perp, \mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}] \cap Z_1 \subseteq I$. It follows that $[I^\perp/I, I^\perp/I, \mathfrak{g}/I, \dots, \mathfrak{g}/I] \cap (Z_1/I) = 0$. Therefore, the theorem follows. $\square$

Corollary 3.5. *If $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ is an indecomposable metric n -Lie algebra with the non-zero isomaximal center, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, the derived algebra $\mathfrak{g}^1 \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{s} = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be an indecomposable metric n -Lie algebra without strong semi-simple ideals and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ the Levi decomposition. Then one and only one of the following cases holds up to isomorphisms.*

- (1) *The radical $\mathfrak{r}$ is an isomaximal ideal, and $\mathfrak{r}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s}$ as $\mathfrak{s}$ -module in the regular representation.*
- (2) *There exists a nonzero vector subspace V of $\mathfrak{r}$ satisfying*

$$[V, \mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}] \subseteq V, \quad \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}^\perp \oplus V, \quad B(\mathfrak{s}, V) = 0,$$

$B|_{V \times V}$ and $B|_{(\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}^\perp) \times (\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}^\perp)}$ are non-degenerate.

Proof. If $\mathfrak{r}$ is isotropic, then $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{r}^\perp$. By Lemma 2.3, $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}^\perp$. For every isomaximal ideal I , thanks to Theorem 3.4, $\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{r}^\perp \subseteq I \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{r}$ is an isomaximal ideal. By Theorem 3.6 in [6], $\mathfrak{r}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s}$ as a $\mathfrak{s}$ -module.

Assume that $\mathfrak{r}$ is non-isotropic. By Lemma 2.3, $\mathfrak{r}^\perp$ is properly contained in $\mathfrak{r}$. Let $L = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}^\perp$.

Firstly, we have $B|_{L \times L}$ is non-degenerate. In fact, let $x = s + z \in L$ satisfying $B(x, L) = 0$, where $s \in \mathfrak{s}, z \in \mathfrak{r}^\perp$. Clearly, $B(s + z, \mathfrak{r}^\perp) = B(s, \mathfrak{r}^\perp) = 0$. Namely, $s \in \mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{s}$. That is, $s = 0$. Similarly, $z = 0$. Then $B|_{L \times L}$ is non-degenerate.

Furthermore we have $B|_{L^\perp \times L^\perp}$ is non-degenerate and

$$\mathfrak{g} = L \oplus L^\perp, \quad B(\mathfrak{s}, L^\perp) = 0, \quad B(\mathfrak{r}^\perp, L^\perp) = 0, \quad \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}^\perp \oplus L^\perp.$$

It follows that $B([\mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, L^\perp], \mathfrak{s}) = B(L^\perp, [\mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}]) = 0$ and $B([\mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, L^\perp], \mathfrak{r}^\perp) = 0$. That is, $[\mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, L^\perp] \subseteq L^\perp$. $\square$

It is well known that the metric dimension of strong semisimple n -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$ is m if $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{s}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{s}_m$, where $\mathfrak{s}_i$ are simple ideals. The following theorem is to determine the metric dimension of metric n -Lie algebras with isomaximal radicals.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a perfect metric n -Lie algebra without semisimple ideals and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ the Levi decomposition. Then $\dim \mathfrak{g} \geq 2(n+1)$. Furthermore assume that the radical $\mathfrak{r}$ is isotropic. Then*

- (1) *$\mathfrak{r}$ is the unique isomaximal ideal.*
- (2) *$\dim \mathfrak{g} = 2m(n+1)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_m$, where $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{s}_i \oplus \mathfrak{r}_i$ (as the direct sum of subalgebras) are ideals of $\mathfrak{g}$,*

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{s}_m, \quad \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{r}_m,$$

and $\mathfrak{r}_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s}_i$ as $\mathfrak{s}_i$ -module in the regular representation, $1 \leq i \leq m$.

- (3) *$B(\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$.*
- (4) *The metric dimension of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $2m$. Moreover there exists a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the matrix form of every metric B associated with the basis is*

$$B = \text{diag}(B_1, \dots, B_m), \quad B_i = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i I_0 & \mu_i I_0 \\ \mu_i I_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda_i \mu_i \neq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, and I_0 is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -unit matrix.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is perfect and has no strong semisimple ideals, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathfrak{r}^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{r} \neq 0, \quad \mathfrak{s} \neq 0.$$

Thanks to Lemma 2.3, $[\mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}^\perp] = \mathfrak{r}^\perp \neq 0$. By the representation properties given by [7, 5], we have $\dim \mathfrak{r}^\perp > n$. Therefore, $\dim \mathfrak{g} \geq 2(n+1)$.

Assume that $\mathfrak{r}$ is isotropic. Then the result (1) follows from Theorem 3.4.

Now let $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{s}_m$ be the decomposition of the strong semisimple subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. By Theorem 3.6 in [6], $\mathfrak{r}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s}$ as a $\mathfrak{s}$ -module in the regular representation. Then

$$\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{r}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{r}_m,$$

where

$$[\mathfrak{s}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{r}_j] = \delta_{ij} \mathfrak{r}_j, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m, \quad (3.4)$$

that is, $\mathfrak{r}_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s}_i$ as an $\mathfrak{s}_i$ -module in the regular representation of $\mathfrak{s}_i$.

Set $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{s}_i \oplus \mathfrak{r}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. For every $i \neq k$,

$$B([\mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{s}_k, \mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}], \mathfrak{r}) = B([\mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{s}_k, \mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}], \mathfrak{r}^\perp) = 0,$$

$$B([\mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{s}_k, \mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}], \mathfrak{s}) = B(\mathfrak{r}, [\mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{s}_k, \mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}]) = 0.$$

It follows that $[\mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{s}_k, \mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}] = 0$. Then

$$[\mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}] = [\mathfrak{s}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{r}_i] = \mathfrak{r}_i.$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{g}_i$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. This proves the result (2).

For every $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$,

$$B(\mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{s}_j) = B([\mathfrak{s}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_i], \mathfrak{s}_j) = B(\mathfrak{s}_i, [\mathfrak{s}_i, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_i, \mathfrak{s}_j]) = 0,$$

$$B(\mathfrak{r}_i, \mathfrak{r}) = 0, \quad B(\mathfrak{r}_i, \mathfrak{s}_j) = B(\mathfrak{r}_i, [\mathfrak{s}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_j]) = B([\mathfrak{r}_i, \mathfrak{s}_j, \dots, \mathfrak{s}_j], \mathfrak{s}_j) = 0.$$

This implies $B(\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Assume that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{s}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{r}_0$ is an indecomposable perfect n -Lie algebra, where $\mathfrak{s}_0$ is the simple subalgebra and the radical $\mathfrak{r}_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{s}_0$ as the $\mathfrak{s}_0$ -module in the regular representation. Thanks to the result (2), $\dim \mathfrak{r}_0 = n+1$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{s}_0$ satisfying

$$[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}] = (-1)^i x_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n+1.$$

Let $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{r}_0$ satisfying

$$[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_j] = (-1)^{n-j+i} y_i, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1;$$

$$[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_k] = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \neq k \leq n+1.$$

Assume that B is a symmetric invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B(x_i, x_j) &= B((-1)^i [x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}], x_j) \\ &= B(x_k, (-1)^{n-k-1} [x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_k, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_j]) = 0, \quad k \neq i \neq j \neq k. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B(x_i, y_j) &= B([x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}], y_j) \\
&= B(x_k, (-1)^{n-k+1} [x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_k, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_j]) = 0, k \neq i \neq j \neq k. \\
B(x_i, x_i) &= B((-1)^i [x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}], x_i) \\
&= B(x_j, (-1)^{n-j+i+1} [x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{n+1}, x_i]) = B(x_j, x_j), i \neq j. \\
B(x_i, y_i) &= B((-1)^i [x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}], y_i) \\
&= B(x_j, (-1)^{n-j+i+1} [x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_i]) = B(x_j, y_j), i \neq j.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly $B(y_i, y_j) = 0$, for $i \neq j$. Therefore for any metric B_0 on $\mathfrak{g}_0$, there exists a basis $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}$ such that the matrix of B_0 associated with the basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda I_0 & \mu I_0 \\ \mu I_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in F$, $\lambda\mu \neq 0$, I_0 is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ -unit matrix. Thanks to Theorem 3.1 in [13], the metric dimension of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is 2. Therefore, the metric dimension of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $2m$ and the matrix of any metric on $\mathfrak{g}$ is (3.3). $\square$

Concerning the simple case in Theorem 3.7, we have the following result.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a perfect metric n -Lie algebra. Then $\dim \mathfrak{g} \geq m(n+1)$, where m is a positive integer.*

4. CLASSIFICATIONS OF $(n+k)$ -DIMENSIONAL METRIC n -LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section we classify $(n+k)$ -dimensional metric n -Lie algebras, where $2 \leq k \leq n+1$. Theorem 4.3 plays a fundamental role in the classification, which is based on the results of section 3. First, we give a well-known fact.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric n -Lie algebra. Then there is a decomposition*

$$\mathfrak{g} = C_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \text{ (as the orthogonal direct sum of ideals),} \quad (4.1)$$

where the center of $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is isotropic, and $C_1 = 0$ or C_1 is a non-degenerate abelian ideal.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a metric n -Lie algebra, where $\dim \mathfrak{g} = n+k$ and $2 \leq k \leq n+1$. Then $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 \leq n+k-1$. Furthermore if $\mathfrak{g}$ is unsolvable, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive, that is, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus C(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathfrak{s}$ is the semisimple ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is solvable, then $\mathfrak{g}^1 = [\mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}]$ is a proper ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$. It implies $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 \leq n+k-1$.

Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is unsolvable. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ be the Levi-decomposition, where $\mathfrak{s} \neq 0$. Since $\dim \mathfrak{s} = n+1$, $\mathfrak{r}$ is a $\mathfrak{s}$ -module with $\dim \mathfrak{r} = k-1 < n+1$. Thanks to [7],

$$[\mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}] = 0.$$

Therefore, $B(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}) = B([\mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}], \mathfrak{r}) = B(\mathfrak{s}, [\mathfrak{s}, \dots, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{r}]) = 0$. It implies $\mathfrak{r} \subseteq \mathfrak{s}^\perp$. By $\dim \mathfrak{s} + \dim \mathfrak{s}^\perp = \dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{s} + \dim \mathfrak{r}$, $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{r}^\perp$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $[\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{g}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$.

Assume that $[\mathfrak{r}, \dots, \mathfrak{r}] \neq 0$. Then $\dim \mathfrak{r} = n$ and there is a basis $e_1, \dots, e_n$ of $\mathfrak{r}$ such that $[e_1, \dots, e_n] = e_1$. Therefore

$$B(e_1, e_i) = B([e_1, \dots, e_n], e_i) = B(e_1, (-1)^i [e_2, \dots, e_n, e_i, e_i]) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \neq 1,$$

$$B(e_1, e_1) = B([e_1, \dots, e_n], e_1) = B(e_n, [e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_1]) = 0.$$

That is, $B(e_1, \mathfrak{g}) = 0$. This contradicts the non-degeneracy of B . Therefore $\mathfrak{r} = C(\mathfrak{g})$. It follows that $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive and $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = n + 1 \leq n + k - 1$. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a non-abelian $(n + k)$ -dimensional metric n -Lie algebra with the isotropic center, where $2 \leq k \leq n + 1$. Then $\dim C(\mathfrak{g}) = k - 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\dim C(\mathfrak{g}) = l$. Thanks to Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 3.1, there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_l, e_{l+1}, \dots, e_{l+t}, e'_1, \dots, e'_l\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that the non-zero products are

$$B(e_r, e'_s) = \delta_{rs}, \quad B(e_{l+i}, e_{l+j}) = \delta_{ij}. \quad (4.2)$$

where $1 \leq r, s \leq l$, $1 \leq i, j \leq t$, $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle e_1, \dots, e_l \rangle$, and $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{l+t} \rangle$. By $\dim C(\mathfrak{g}) + \dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = \dim \mathfrak{g} = n + k$, we have $l < k$.

If $l = k - 2$, then $n + k - l = n + 2$, thanks to eqs. (2.3) and (4.2), the non-zero brackets of basis vectors are

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i,j}^1 &= [e_{l+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}_{l+i}, \dots, \widehat{e}_{l+j}, \dots, e_{l+t}, e'_1, \dots, e'_l] = \mu_{i,j}^{1,i} e_{l+i} + \mu_{i,j}^{1,j} e_{l+j}, \\ C_{i,r}^2 &= [e_{l+1}, \dots, \widehat{e}_{l+i}, \dots, e_{l+t}, e'_1, \dots, \widehat{e}'_r, \dots, e_l] = \lambda_{i,r}^{2,r} e_r + \mu_{i,r}^{2,i} e_{l+i}, \\ C_{r,s}^3 &= [e_{l+1}, \dots, e_{l+t}, e'_1, \dots, \widehat{e}'_r, \dots, \widehat{e}'_s, \dots, e'_l] = \lambda_{r,s}^{3,r} e_r + \lambda_{r,s}^{3,s} e_s, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i, j \leq t$, $1 \leq r, s \leq l$. Furthermore for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t$, $1 \leq r \neq s \leq l$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{i,j}^{1,i} &= (-1)^{t-r-i-1} \lambda_{j,r}^{2,r}, & \mu_{i,j}^{1,j} &= (-1)^{t-r-j} \lambda_{i,r}^{2,r}, & \mu_{i,r}^{2,i} &= (-1)^{-j-i} \mu_{j,r}^{2,j}, \\ \lambda_{r,s}^{3,r} &= (-1)^{t-r-i} \mu_{i,s}^{2,i}, & \lambda_{r,s}^{3,s} &= (-1)^{t-s-i-1} \mu_{i,r}^{2,i}, & \lambda_{i,r}^{2,r} &= (-1)^{-s-r} \lambda_{i,s}^{2,s}. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq i \neq j \leq t, 1 \leq r \neq s \leq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{t-r-i} \mu_{i,r}^{2,i} C_{i,j}^1 &= \lambda_{j,r}^{2,r} C_{i,r}^2 - \lambda_{i,r}^{2,r} C_{j,r}^2, & (-1)^{t-r-i} \lambda_{i,r}^{2,r} C_{r,s}^3 &= \mu_{i,s}^{2,i} C_{i,r}^2 - \mu_{i,r}^{2,i} C_{i,s}^2, \\ (-1)^s \mu_{i,s}^{2,i} \lambda_{j,r}^{2,r} C_{i,r}^2 &+ (-1)^r \mu_{i,r}^{2,i} \lambda_{i,s}^{2,s} C_{j,s}^2 &= (-1)^r \mu_{i,r}^{2,i} \lambda_{j,s}^{2,s} C_{i,s}^2 &+ (-1)^s \mu_{i,s}^{2,i} \lambda_{i,r}^{2,r} C_{j,r}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathfrak{g}^1$ is spanned by $C_{1,1}^2, C_{1,2}^2, \dots, C_{1,l}^2, C_{2,l}^2, \dots, C_{t,l}^2$. It contradicts $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = l + t$. Therefore, $l \neq k - 2$.

If $l < k - 2$, then $l < n - 1$, that is, $n - l \geq 2$. We obtain an $(n - l)$ -Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}$ (as vector spaces) with the multiplication given as follows

$$[x_1, \dots, x_{n-l}]_0 = [x_1, \dots, x_{n-l}, e'_1, \dots, e'_l], \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_{n-l} \in \mathfrak{g}_0. \quad (4.3)$$

It is easy to check

$$B([x_1, \dots, x_{n-l-1}, z]_0, y) = -B([x_1, \dots, x_{n-l-1}, y]_0, z)$$

for any $x_1, \dots, x_{n-l-1}, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. It follows that $(\mathfrak{g}_0, B)$ is a metric $(n-l)$ -Lie algebra.

We claim $\mathfrak{g}_0^1 = \langle e_{l+1}, \dots, e_{l+t} \rangle$ and $C(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \langle e_1, \dots, e_l, e'_1, \dots, e'_l \rangle$.

In fact, set $[x_1, \dots, x_{n-l}]_0 = \sum_{r=1}^l a_r e_r + \sum_{j=1}^t b_j e_{l+j}$, where $x_1, \dots, x_{n-l} \in \mathfrak{g}_0$. Thanks to the identity (4.2), for $1 \leq s \leq l$

$$a_s = B(a_s e_s, e'_s) = B([x_1, \dots, x_{n-l}]_0 - \sum_{r=1, r \neq s}^l a_r e_r - \sum_{j=1}^t b_j e_{l+j}, e'_s) = 0.$$

Then $\mathfrak{g}_0 = C(\mathfrak{g}_0) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0^1$. Thus $(\mathfrak{g}_0^1, B)$ is a t -dimensional perfect metric $(n-l)$ -Lie algebra. By Corollary 3.8, $\dim \mathfrak{g}_0^1 \geq m(n-l+1)$ for some positive integer m . It is a contradiction. Therefore, $l = k-1$. $\square$

Theorem 4.4. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a non-abelian $(n+k)$ -dimensional metric n -Lie algebra, where $2 \leq k \leq n+1$ and the center is isotropic. Then there exists a basis $e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k, \dots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{n+k}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{k-1} \rangle$, $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k, \dots, e_{n+1} \rangle$, and*

$$B(e_r, e_{n+1+s}) = \delta_{rs}, \quad B(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $1 \leq r, s \leq k-1$; $k \leq i, j \leq n+1$, the multiplication table under the basis is

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} [e_k, \dots, \widehat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{n+k}] = (-1)^{n+i} a e_i, & k \leq i \leq n+1, \\ [e_k, \dots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, \widehat{e}_{n+1+r}, \dots, e_{n+k}] = (-1)^{r+1} a e_r, & 1 \leq r \leq k-1, \end{cases}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \neq 0$.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 4.3, there exists a basis $e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k, \dots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{n+k}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the identity (4.4), where $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{k-1} \rangle$, $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k, \dots, e_{n+1} \rangle$. Let

$$[e_k, \dots, \widehat{e}_q, \dots, e_{n+k}] = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} a_{qr} e_r + \sum_{i=k}^{n+1} b_{qi} e_i, \quad k \leq q \leq n+k.$$

The theorem follows from the direct computation according to eqs. (2.3) and (4.4). $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ be a non-abelian $(n+k)$ -dimensional metric n -Lie algebra, where $2 \leq k \leq n+1$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ is one of the following cases up to isomorphisms.*

- (1) *If the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ is isotropic, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is the case (1) in Theorem 4.4.*
- (2) *Assume that the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-isotropic. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive, there exists a basis $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, e_1, \dots, \dots, e_{n+1}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} B(x_p, x_q) = \delta_{pq}, & 1 \leq p, q \leq k-1; \\ B(x_p, e_i) = 0, & 1 \leq p \leq k-1, 1 \leq i \leq n+1; \\ B(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}, & 1 \leq i, j \leq n+1, \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

and the multiplication table is given as follows:

$$(2) [e_1, \dots, \widehat{e}_r, \dots, e_{n+1}] = (-1)^{r+1} c e_r,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0, 1 \leq r \leq n+1$.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-reductive, that is, $C(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}^1 \neq 0$. Then there exists a basis $x_1, \dots, x_l, e_1, \dots, e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+k-l}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $C(\mathfrak{g}) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_l, e_1, \dots, e_{k_1-1} \rangle$, $\mathfrak{g}^1 = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{k_1-1}, e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{n+1} \rangle$,

$$\begin{cases} B(x_p, x_q) = \delta_{pq}, & 1 \leq p, q \leq l; \\ B(x_p, e_m) = 0, & 1 \leq p \leq l, 1 \leq m \leq n+k-l; \\ B(e_r, e_s) = \delta_{rs}, & k_1 \leq r, s \leq n+1; \\ B(e_i, e_{n+1+j}) = \delta_{ij}, & 1 \leq i, j \leq k_1-1, \\ B(e_{n+1+i}, e_{n+1+j}) = 0, & 1 \leq i, j \leq k_1-1, \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

and the multiplication table is as follows

$$(3) \begin{cases} [e_{k_1}, \dots, \widehat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{n+k-l}] = (-1)^{n+i} a e_i, & k_1 \leq i \leq n+1; \\ [e_{k_1}, \dots, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots, \widehat{e}_{n+1+r}, \dots, e_{n+k-l}] = (-1)^{r+1} a e_r, & 1 \leq r \leq k_1-1, \end{cases}$$

where $1 \leq l < k-1, k_1 = \dim(C(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}^1) = k-l-1 \geq 1, a \in \mathbb{C}, a \neq 0$.

Proof. The result (1) follows the Theorem 4.4. The case (2) and identity (4.5) follow from the direct computation by [12, 16] and the identity (2.3). Lastly assume that the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-isotropic and $\mathfrak{g}$ is non-reductive. The theorem follows from Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.3. $\square$

Summarizing above result, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a $(n+k)$ -dimensional metric n -Lie algebra with $2 \leq k \leq n+1$. Then $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = 0$ or $\dim \mathfrak{g}^1 = n+1$.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first and second authors are partially supported by NSF of China (10871192) and NSF of Hebei Province, China (A2010000194).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BAGGER and N. LAMBERT, Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008), 065008.
- [2] J. BAGGER and N. LAMBERT, Modeling multiple M2s, *Phys. Rev. D* **75** (2007), 045020.
- [3] R. BAI and D. MENG, The strong semisimple n -Lie algebra, *Comm. Algebra* **31**(11) (2003): 5331–5341.
- [4] R. BAI and D. MENG, The representation of simple $(n+1)$ -dimensional n -Lie algebras, *J. Math. Res. Exposition* **26**(4) (2006): 659–673.
- [5] R. BAI and D. MENG, Representations of strong semisimple n -Lie algebras, *Adv. Math (China)* **35**(6) (2006): 739–746.
- [6] R. BAI, W. WU and Z. LI, Metric n -Lie Algebras, *arXiv: 1004.3825*.
- [7] A. DZHUMADIL'DAEV, Representations of Vector Product n -Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004): 3315–3326.
- [8] J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL, Lorentzian Lie n -algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008), 113509.
- [9] J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL, Metric Lie n -algebras and double extensions, *arXiv: 0806.3534* [math.RT].

- [10] J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL and G. PAPADOPOULOS, Plucker-type relations for orthogonal planes, *J. Geom. Phys.* **49** (2004), 294331.
- [11] J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL and G. PAPADOPOULOS, Maximal supersymmetric solutions of ten- and eleven-dimensional supergravity, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03**, 048.
- [12] V. FILIPPOV, n -Lie algebras, *Sib. Mat. Zh.* **26(6)** (1985): 126–140.
- [13] A. GUSTAVSSON, Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes, *Nucl. Phys. B* **811** (2009), 66.
- [14] P. HO, R. HOU and Y. MATSUO, Lie 3-algebra and multiple M_2 -branes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08**, 020.
- [15] Y. JIN, W. LIU and Z. ZHANG, Real simple n -Lie algebras admitting metric structures, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42** (2009), 485206.
- [16] W. LING, On the structure of n -Lie algebras, Dissertation, *University-GHS-Siegen*, Siegen, 1993.
- [17] P. MEDEIROS, J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL and E. MEDEZ-ESCORBAR, Lorentzian Lie 3-algebras and their Bagger-Lambert moduli: space, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08**, 111.
- [18] P. MEDEIROS, J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL and E. MEDEZ-ESCORBAR, Metric Lie 3-algebras in Bagger-Lambert theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08**, 045.
- [19] P. MEDEIROS, J. FIGUEROA-O'FARRILL and E. MEDEZ-ESCORBAR, Metric 3-Lie algebras for unitary Bagger-Lambert theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09**, 037.
- [20] Y. NAMBU, Generalized Hamiltonian dynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **7** (1973): 2405–2412.
- [21] G. PAPADOPOULOS, M_2 -branes, 3-Lie algebras and Plucker relations, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08**, 054.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING 071002, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: bairp1@yahoo.com.cn

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, HEBEI UNIVERSITY, BAODING 071002, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: wuwanqing8888@126.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P.R. CHINA
E-mail address: chenzhiqi@nankai.edu.cn